

Polarized Rewriting and Tableaux in B Set Theory

SETS 2018

Olivier Hermant

CRI, MINES ParisTech, PSL Research University

June 5, 2018



Introduction

- ▶ Assumes familiarity with FOL
- ▶ Tableaux method
- ▶ Extension with rewriting : Tableaux Modulo Theory
- ▶ Implementation and benchmark : Zenon Modulo and B Set theory
- ▶ Proposed extension : polarized rewriting
- ▶ Discussions

Tableaux Method

$$\frac{\perp}{\odot} \odot_{\perp}$$

$$\frac{F, \neg F}{\odot} \odot$$

$$\frac{\neg T}{\odot} \odot_{\neg T}$$

$$\frac{\neg\neg F}{F} \alpha_{\neg\neg}$$

$$\frac{F \wedge G}{F, G} \alpha_{\wedge}$$

$$\frac{\neg(F \vee G)}{\neg F, \neg G} \alpha_{\neg\vee}$$

$$\frac{\neg(F \Rightarrow G)}{F, \neg G} \alpha_{\neg\Rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{F \vee G}{F \mid G} \beta_{\vee}$$

$$\frac{\neg(F \wedge G)}{\neg F \mid \neg G} \beta_{\neg\wedge}$$

$$\frac{F \Rightarrow G}{\neg F \mid G} \beta_{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\exists x F(x)}{F(c)} \delta_{\exists}$$

$$\frac{\neg\forall x F(x)}{\neg F(c)} \delta_{\neg\forall}$$

$$\frac{\forall x F(x)}{F(t)} \gamma_{\forall}$$

$$\frac{\neg\exists x F(x)}{\neg F(t)} \gamma_{\neg\exists}$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\textcolor{red}{\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)}, \neg(A \subseteq A)$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\gamma \vee \frac{\cancel{\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)}}{\forall y A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in Y)}$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\gamma_{\forall} \frac{\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)}{\gamma_{\forall} \frac{\forall y A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in Y)}{A \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}}$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\alpha \wedge \frac{\gamma \vee \frac{\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)}{\gamma \vee \frac{\forall y \ A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in Y)}{A \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}}}{(\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A) \Rightarrow A \subseteq A, A \subseteq A \Rightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\frac{\gamma \forall \frac{\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)}{\forall y A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in Y)}}{\alpha \wedge \frac{\gamma \forall \frac{A \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}{(\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A) \Rightarrow A \subseteq A, A \subseteq A \Rightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}}{A \subseteq A \quad | \quad \neg \forall z (z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}}$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\begin{array}{c} \gamma \forall \frac{\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)}{\gamma \forall \frac{\forall y A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in Y)}{A \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}} \\ \alpha \wedge \beta \Rightarrow \frac{(\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A) \Rightarrow A \subseteq A, A \subseteq A \Rightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}{\odot \frac{A \subseteq A}{\odot} \quad | \quad \neg \forall z (z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)} \end{array}$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\begin{array}{c} \gamma_{\forall} \frac{\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)}{\gamma_{\forall} \frac{\forall y \ A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in Y)}{A \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}} \\ \beta_{\Rightarrow} \frac{\alpha_{\wedge} \frac{}{(\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A) \Rightarrow A \subseteq A, A \subseteq A \Rightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}}{\odot \frac{A \subseteq A}{\odot}} \quad | \quad \frac{\neg \forall z \ (z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}{\neg(c \in A \Rightarrow c \in A)} \delta_{\neg \forall} \end{array}$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\begin{array}{c} \gamma \forall \frac{\forall X \forall Y \ X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)}{\forall y \ A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in Y)} \\ \gamma \forall \frac{}{A \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)} \\ \alpha \wedge \frac{}{(\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A) \Rightarrow A \subseteq A, A \subseteq A \Rightarrow (\forall z \ z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)} \\ \beta \Rightarrow \frac{\textcircled{O} \frac{A \subseteq A}{\textcircled{O}} \quad | \quad \frac{\neg \forall z \ (z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}{\neg(c \in A \Rightarrow c \in A)} \delta_{\neg \forall}}{\frac{}{c \in A, \neg(c \in A)} \alpha_{\neg \Rightarrow}} \end{array}$$

Example : Inclusion

- we want to show $A \subseteq A$, for a given set A
- axiomatization of inclusion is

$$\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

- we shall refute $\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)$
- the proof :

$$\frac{\gamma \forall \frac{\forall X \forall Y X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y), \neg(A \subseteq A)}{\forall y A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in Y)}}{A \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}$$
$$\beta_{\Rightarrow} \frac{\alpha_{\wedge} \frac{}{(\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A) \Rightarrow A \subseteq A, A \subseteq A \Rightarrow (\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}}{\bigcirc \frac{A \subseteq A}{\bigcirc}} \quad | \quad \frac{\neg \forall z (z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)}{\neg(c \in A \Rightarrow c \in A)} \delta_{\neg \forall}$$
$$\frac{\neg(c \in A \Rightarrow c \in A)}{c \in A, \neg(c \in A)} \alpha_{\neg \Rightarrow} \bigcirc$$

Deduction Modulo Theory

Rewrite Rule

A term (resp. proposition) rewrite rule is a pair of terms (resp. formulæ) $I \rightarrow r$, where $\mathcal{FV}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{FV}(r)$ and, in the proposition case, I is atomic.

Examples :

- ▶ **term** rewrite rule :

$$a \cup \emptyset \rightarrow a$$

- ▶ **proposition** rewrite rule :

$$a \subseteq b \rightarrow \forall x x \in a \Rightarrow x \in b$$

Conversion modulo a Rewrite System

We consider the congruence \equiv generated by a set of proposition rewrite rules \mathcal{R} and a set of term rewrite rules \mathcal{E} (often implicit). Forward-only rewriting is denoted $\rightarrow\!\!\!$.

Example :

$$A \cup \emptyset \subseteq A \quad \equiv \quad \forall x x \in A \Rightarrow x \in A$$



Tableaux Modulo Theory

- ▶ two flavors, essentially equivalent
- ▶ add a conversion rule :

$$\frac{F}{G} \text{ (Conv), if } F \equiv G$$

- ▶ or integrate conversion inside each rule :

$$\frac{H}{F, G} \alpha_{\wedge}, \text{ if } H \equiv F \wedge G$$

Example : Inclusion

- delete the axiom $\forall X \forall Y (X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow \forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$
- replace with the rewrite rule $X \subseteq Y \rightarrow \forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y$
- we now refute only $\neg(A \subseteq A)$

Example : Inclusion

- delete the axiom $\forall X \forall Y (X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow \forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$
- replace with the rewrite rule $X \subseteq Y \rightarrow \forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y$
- we now refute only $\neg(A \subseteq A)$
- yields

$$\begin{array}{c} (Conv) \frac{}{\neg(A \subseteq A)} \\ \alpha_{\neg\forall} \frac{}{\neg(\forall z z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A)} \\ \alpha_{\neg\Rightarrow} \frac{}{\neg(c \in A \Rightarrow c \in A)} \\ \odot \frac{\neg(c \in A), c \in A}{\odot} \end{array}$$

Expressing B Set Theory with Rewriting

- for power set and comprehension

$$\begin{aligned}s \in \mathbb{P}(t) &\longrightarrow \forall x \cdot (x \in s \Rightarrow x \in t) \\ x \in \{z \mid P(z)\} &\longrightarrow P(x)\end{aligned}$$

- derived constructs
- with typing, too

$$s \in_{\mathbf{set}(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}_\alpha(t) \longrightarrow \forall x : \alpha \cdot (x \in_\alpha s \Rightarrow x \in_\alpha t)$$

- ▶ Zenon : classical first-order tableaux-based ATP
- ▶ Extended to **ML polymorphism**
- ▶ Extended to **Deduction Modulo Theory**
- ▶ Extended to **linear arithmetic**
- ▶ Reads TPTP input format
- ▶ Dedukti certificates
- ▶ work of P. Halmagrand, G. Bury

- ▶ Zenon : classical first-order tableaux-based ATP
- ▶ Extended to **ML polymorphism**
- ▶ Extended to **Deduction Modulo Theory**
- ▶ Extended to **linear arithmetic**
- ▶ Reads TPTP input format
- ▶ Dedukti certificates
- ▶ work of P. Halmagrand, G. Bury
- ▶ We propose to extend it to **Polarized** Deduction Modulo Theory

Benchmarks

A set of Proof Obligations

- ▶ Provided by Industrial Partners
- ▶ 12.876 PO
- ▶ Provable : proved in Atelier B (automatically or interactively)
- ▶ Wide spectrum
- ▶ Mild difficulty, large files

Zenon results

	All Tools (98,9%)					
12.876 %	mp	Zenon	Zenon Types	Zenon Arith	Zenon Modulo	Zenon Mod+Ari
Time (s)	-	6,9	2,3	2,5	3,0	2,6
Unique	329	0	0	0	34	946

Protocol

- ▶ Processor Intel Xeon E5-2660 v2
- ▶ Timeout 120 s
- ▶ Memory 1 GiB

Polarized Rewriting

- ▶ **asymmetry**
 - ★ rewrite **positive** formulas a certain way
 - ★ rewrite **negative** formulas another way
 - ★ interchangeable : $F \twoheadrightarrow_- G$ iff $\neg F \twoheadrightarrow_+ \neg G$
- ▶ let \mathcal{R}_+ and \mathcal{R}_- be two sets of rewrite rules

Polarized Rewriting

$F \rightarrow_+ G$ if there exists a **positive** (resp. **negative**) occurrence H in F , a substitution σ , and a rule $I \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}^+$ (resp. \mathcal{R}^-), such that $H = I\sigma$ and G is F where H has been replaced with $r\sigma$.

Tableaux Modulo Polarized Theory

- ▶ tableaux is one-sided, we need only positive rewriting
- ▶ add to first-order tableau, the conversion rule

$$\frac{F}{G} \rightarrow\!\!\! \rightarrow_+, \text{ if } F \rightarrow\!\!\! \rightarrow_+ G$$

- ▶ notice forward rewriting only

Example : Inclusion

- ▶ delete the axiom $\forall X \forall Y (X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow \forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$
- ▶ replace it with **two** rewrite rules
 - ★ $X \subseteq Y \rightarrow_+ (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y),$
 - ★ $X \subseteq Y \rightarrow_- (f(X, Y) \in X \Rightarrow f(X, Y) \in Y)$
- ▶ f is a fresh symbol (**Skolem symbol**)
 - ★ negative \forall quantifiers can be Skolemized !
 - ★ impossible in Deduction Modulo Theory : **unpolarized rewriting**
 - ★ here **positive** rewriting applied in **positive** contexts, negative in **negative** contexts
 - ★ “pre-apply” $\delta_{\neg\forall}$ and δ_{\exists} : Skolemize

Example : Inclusion

- ▶ delete the axiom $\forall X \forall Y (X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow \forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$
- ▶ replace it with **two** rewrite rules
 - ★ $X \subseteq Y \rightarrow_+ (\forall z z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y),$
 - ★ $X \subseteq Y \rightarrow_- (f(X, Y) \in X \Rightarrow f(X, Y) \in Y)$
- ▶ f is a fresh symbol (**Skolem symbol**)
 - ★ negative \forall quantifiers can be Skolemized !
 - ★ impossible in Deduction Modulo Theory : **unpolarized rewriting**
 - ★ here **positive** rewriting applied in **positive** contexts, negative in **negative** contexts
 - ★ “pre-apply” $\delta_{\neg\forall}$ and δ_{\exists} : Skolemize
- ▶ the proof becomes

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\neg(A \subseteq A)}{\neg(f(A, A) \in A \Rightarrow f(A, A) \in A)} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\neg\Rightarrow}}}{\neg(f(A, A) \in A), f(A, A) \in A} \odot}{\quad}$$

Advantages

- ▶ Skolemization of the rules = **a single** Skolem symbol
 - ★ instead of a fresh one for each δ -rule, even if the formula is the same
 - ★ fixable with ϵ -Hilbert operator ?
- ▶ Skolemization at **pre-processing**, once and for all
- ▶ more axioms become rewrite rules
 - ★ Deduction Modulo Theory, sole shape

$$\forall \bar{x}(P \Leftrightarrow F)$$

- ★ Polarization allows two more shapes
 - ★ $\forall \bar{x}(P \Rightarrow F)$ turned into $P \rightarrow_+ F$
 - ★ $\forall \bar{x}(F \Rightarrow P)$ turned into $P \rightarrow_- F$
 - ★ $\forall \bar{x}(P \Leftrightarrow F)$ subsumed

Issues

- ▶ Deciding rewriting in Deduction Modulo Theory :
 - ★ strongly needs **non confusion**

if $F \equiv G$, then they have the same main connective

- ★ needs **confluence**

if $F \equiv G$, then there is H such that $F \twoheadrightarrow H \Leftarrow G$

- ★ allows to have a simpler additional tableaux rule

$$\frac{F}{G} (\text{Conv}), \text{ if } F \equiv G$$

- ★ **termination** of rewriting helps, too
- ▶ the more rules, the more potential troubles
 - ★ needs proper study (and definitions !)
- ▶ **Completeness**
 - ★ not implied by confluence and termination
 - ★ e.g. requires narrowing
 - ★ we do not care much, except for nice theoretical results
 - ★ performance is more important

Issues

- ▶ Deciding rewriting in Deduction Modulo Theory :
 - ★ strongly needs **non confusion**

if $F \equiv G$, then they have the same main connective

- ★ needs **confluence**

if $F \equiv G$, then there is H such that $F \twoheadrightarrow H \Leftarrow G$

- ★ allows to have a simpler additional tableaux rule

$$\frac{F}{G} (\text{Conv}), \text{ if } F \twoheadrightarrow G$$

- ★ **termination** of rewriting helps, too
- ▶ the more rules, the more potential troubles
 - ★ needs proper study (and definitions !)
- ▶ **Completeness**
 - ★ not implied by confluence and termination
 - ★ e.g. requires narrowing
 - ★ we do not care much, except for nice theoretical results
 - ★ performance is more important

Conclusion

- ▶ implement and test
- ▶ theory can come later
 - ★ except soundness
 - ★ develop proper notions of confluence, cut elimination, models, etc.
- ▶ which Skolemization ?